



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office	
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS	
P.O. Box 1450	
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	
www.usnto.gov	

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/376,654	08/18/1999	ALAN FOLMSBEE	5437-076/P41 6747		
25920 75	25920 7590 03/17/2004		EXAM	INER	
	PENILLA, LLP		LANIER, BENJAMIN E		
710 LAKEWAY DRIVE SUITE 170		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SUNNYVALE	SUNNYVALE, CA 94085		2132	9	
			DATE MAILED: 03/17/2004	4 Ø	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/376,654	FOLMSBEE, ALAN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Benjamin E Lanier	2132
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 03 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application () a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	-	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	•	
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:	
(a) \square they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	pelow);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.
NOTE:		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected:		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme		
10. Other:	L-D(1	8
	CU DEDTO	0
	GILBERTO E SUPERVISORY PATE	
	TECHNOLOGY CE	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/376,654

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's amended limitation of error correction of intentionally inserted errors in a predictable manner still does not distinguish the invention over the prior art because error correction system operate in a predictable manner.